

It's the thoughts that count

Subscribe as a gift or a treat for yourself
Save up to 78%



NewScientist

Subscribe and save 78%



Give a gift

NewScientist

Space



Log in

My New Scientist

Home News In-Depth Articles Opinion CultureLab Galleries Topic Guides Last Word Subscribe Dating

Look for Science Jobs

SPACE

TECH

ENVIRONMENT

HEALTH

LIFE

PHYSICS&MATH

SCIENCE IN SOCIETY

Cookies & Privacy

Home | Space | Physics & Math | News

Twist in dark matter tale hints at shadow Milky Way

13:06 11 April 2013 by [Lisa Grossman](#)

For similar stories, visit the [Cosmology](#) Topic Guide

THE HUNT for some of the most wanted stuff in the universe took a new twist this week with the first results from a high-profile, space-based dark matter detector. The results are inconclusive, but, if combined with recent theory, they hint at something exciting. Could the universe have a dark side, complete with its own force, a zoo of particles and even a shadow version of the Milky Way?

"There could be a mirror world where interesting things are going on," says [James Bullock](#) of the University of California at Irvine, who has been working on the idea of a "dark sector" for a while. "It means nature is much richer than we would otherwise know," he says.

The dark sector could help explain why we've failed to detect dark matter on Earth so far, but it would also demand a radical shift in our understanding of the stuff.

The way stars and galaxies move shows there is more mass present than we can see. To account for this, 80 per cent of the universe's matter must be dark. No wonder physicists are desperate to find it. The trouble is the stuff stubbornly refuses to interact with ordinary matter, except through gravity, so has not been conclusively detected.

The most favoured models say it is made up of a new, weakly interacting massive particle. These WIMPs collide in space, annihilating and decaying into ordinary particles, including electrons and their antimatter counterparts, positrons.

Since May 2011, the [Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer](#) has been sitting on the International Space Station, sifting through billions of charged cosmic rays for evidence of those annihilations. If it sees an excessive number of positrons relative to electrons at a certain energy, that might just be a compelling sign of dark matter.

Like 2k

71



159



Space station looks for WIMPs (Image: NASA)

1 more image

This week's issue

Subscribe



04 January 2014

ADVERTISEMENT

ADVERTISEMENT

It's the thoughts that count



It's the thoughts that count



It's the thoughts that count



Subscribe as a gift or a treat for yourself Save up to 78%

NewScientist

On 3 April, AMS designer [Samuel Ting](#) of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology reported an expected rise in the ratio of positrons to electrons at energies between 10 and 350 gigaelectronvolts. Frustratingly though, the upturn is not yet sharp enough to attribute to dark matter collisions since the extra positrons could still come from more mundane sources like pulsars. "It's an indication, but by no means is it a proof," Ting says.

In the meantime, a further quirk in the results suggests that if the particles are dark matter, they may not be vanilla WIMPs.

The simplest models predict there should be only a certain amount of dark matter hanging around, and that WIMPs should rarely meet. But AMS has spotted too many positrons for that – so what could make WIMPs collide in space more often than expected?

In 2008, when the PAMELA satellite found a similar excess of positrons, [Neal Weiner](#) of New York University and colleagues suggested that [WIMPs are drawn together under a force of their own](#). This new force increases their collision rate but would have escaped our gaze until now because it ignores ordinary matter entirely.

"The basic model is very simple – we know that there are forces in nature, so the idea that dark matter might have its own is pretty easy to consider," Weiner says. The fact that AMS, which makes much more precise measurements of the positron-electron ratio, still sees too many positrons, further invigorates this idea, he says. But the dark force models still need to describe how galaxies and clusters form and interact, which classic dark matter already explains very well.

Enter [Lisa Randall](#) of Harvard University and colleagues, who last month proposed a way around this by suggesting that there might be two different types of WIMP. Under their model, 85 per cent would be the classic, non-interacting kind, but 15 per cent would be separate particles that interact with each other via a new dark force, akin to the one proposed by Weiner. This removes some of the difficulties with introducing a new dark force while preserving the benefit of a "boost factor" in the rate of WIMP annihilation – via the 15 per cent of self-interacting WIMPs. It would also require a second new particle, a kind of dark photon.

Randall's model was created before the AMS result came out, to explain another whiff of dark matter. That signal, an excess of gamma rays seen by the Fermi satellite, also required a boost factor for it to be attributed to WIMP annihilation. That [signal is now fading](#), but, somewhat serendipitously, Randall's model could be tweaked to fit with the AMS positron excess as well.

If her model is correct, the consequences would be pretty interesting. Observations of the orbits of stars around galaxies suggest that all galaxies, including the Milky Way, are surrounded by a spherical cloud of dark matter ([see diagram](#)). But if a fraction of dark matter particles interact with each other, they would combine into atom-like structures and eventually collapse into a spinning disc. This is how ordinary matter formed the Milky Way. The resulting shadow Milky Way could be spinning right along with the visible one, or it could end up tilted at a slight angle, she adds. Randall is also

More Latest news

› [Gecko feet will let robot minions fix space stations](#)



17:01 03 January 2014
It may look like a circuit board stuck to the ceiling – but this sticky-footed climbing robot is paving the way for a mission in orbit

› [Second tiny asteroid spotted before it hit Earth](#)

00:17 03 January 2014
Celestial fireworks got off to an early start this year as a small meteor burned up in the atmosphere in the first days of 2014 – we saw it coming, but only just

› [Astrophile: Wrinkles reveal Mercury's rapid slimming](#)



18:10 02 January 2014
The tiny world went on a serious diet in its youth, shedding about 11 kilometres of its original width as it cooled

› [Breaking relativity: Celestial signals defy Einstein](#)



16:00 02 January 2014
Strange signals picked up from black holes and distant supernovae suggest there's more to space-time than Einstein believed, says **Stuart Clark**

› [see all related stories](#)

Most read Most commented

› [Stonehenge Man: not just a pretty face](#)

› [Our liver vacation: Is a dry January really worth it?](#)

› [Second tiny asteroid spotted before it hit Earth](#)

› [GPS satellites suggest Earth is heavy with dark matter](#)

› [Entangled spies: Why the NSA wants a](#)

Subscribe as a gift or a treat for yourself Save up to 78%

NewScientist

It's the thoughts that count



Save up to 78%

NewScientist

open to the idea of more particles, just as normal matter consists of a slew of subatomic entities, including quarks, electrons and neutrinos.

If it all sounds too radical, that may be a good thing: most direct detection experiments, which wait for an ordinary WIMP to collide with the nucleus of a heavy atom like germanium or xenon, have seen nothing (see "[Going underground in search of dark matter strikes](#)"), for example.

Even if AMS comes up similarly empty, as many expect, Randall's idea could still survive. To some, a dark force, though it brings complications, seems more natural than the traditional model of dark matter. "Anything beyond that is now considered exotic," says Bullock. "But why? Normal matter interacts with itself, so 20 per cent of matter is really complicated. It's funny that the other 80 per cent is thought to be uncomplicated," he adds.

Self-interacting dark matter may also be easier to detect than its aloof counterpart, so we may soon know who is right. Bullock and colleagues already [attributed the dynamics of one galactic smash-up to a dark force](#) keeping WIMPs closer to each other than expected. They are seeking more similar examples.

Randall's dark disc, meanwhile, would affect the movements of some stars in ways that the [Gaia satellite](#), which launches in October, could spot. And because the disc rotates at about the same speed as the Milky Way, WIMPs might collect in the sun more often than you would expect, knocking into solar protons to produce neutrinos. The IceCube detector in Antarctica could detect those extra neutrinos – although in results released two weeks ago [the detector reported no such signal](#).

Weiner describes dark matter hunters like a drunkard looking for keys under a lamp post – that's not where the boozier dropped them, just where the light is. "Changing the dark matter model enlarges the lamp post you're looking under," he says, upping our chances of finding WIMPs – and other things besides.

quantum computer

FOLLOW US

Get editors' picks in your social streams



Like 2k 71 159

MORE FROM NEW SCIENTIST



Cheap, 'safe' drug kills most cancers



Climate change survey gives mandate for action



Chinese human fossils unlike any known species



Climate myths: Antarctica is getting cooler, not warmer, disproving global warming

MORE FROM THE WEB



Meet the Future of Consumer Robotics (Kotaku)



We Are Here to Save the World - Says Antivirus CEO (Forbes)



These are the 30 Hottest Jewish Women Under 40 (Rant Lifestyle)



10 Bad Habits That Age You (Shape Magazine)

Recommended by

If you would like to **reuse any content** from New Scientist, either in print or online, please **contact the syndication** department first for permission. New Scientist does not own rights to photos, but there are a [variety of licensing options](#) available for use of articles and graphics we own the copyright to.

[Back to top](#) ▲



[Login](#)

About us

[New Scientist](#)
[Syndication](#)
[Recruitment Advertising](#)
[Staff at New Scientist](#)
[Advertise](#)
[RBI Jobs](#)

User Help

[Contact Us](#)
[FAQ / Help](#)
[Disclaimer](#)
[Ts & Cs](#)
[Cookies](#)
[Privacy Policy](#)

Subscriptions

[Subscribe](#)
[Renew](#)
[Gift subscription](#)
[My account](#)
[Back issues](#)
[Customer Service](#)

Links

[Site Map](#)
[Browse all articles](#)
[Magazine archive](#)
[NewScientistJobs](#)
[The Last Word](#)
[RSS Feeds](#)
[Online Store](#)
[iOS & Android apps](#)
[Low-bandwidth site](#)

Science Jobs

[Search all Jobs](#)
[Biology Jobs](#)
[Chemistry Jobs](#)
[Clinical Jobs](#)
[Earth & Environment](#)
[Jobs](#)
[Engineering Jobs](#)
[Maths & IT Jobs](#)
[Careers Advice](#)

© Copyright Reed Business Information Ltd.