

Opinion » Op-Ed

December

Is the Higgs boson real? Physicists react

Ian Sample

Share · Comment (2) · print

T+
Related

NEWS

Higgs boson 'may have been glimpsed'

For now, the Higgs Boson exists only in theory

TOPICS

applied science

particle physics

physics

science and technology

research

Rumours abound that Cern scientists have finally glimpsed the long-sought Higgs boson. Physicists were asked to share their thoughts on the elusive entity.

Soon after Rolf-Dieter Heuer, the director general at CERN, emailed staff about next Tuesday's (December 13) seminar on the most sought-after particle in modern times, rumours hit the physics blogs that the lab might finally have caught sight of the Higgs boson.

I wrote last week that the heads of the two groups that work on the Atlas and CMS detectors at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will give the talks. That in itself is telling — usually more junior researchers present updates on the search for the missing particle.

Last month, scientists at the lab said that if the particle exists, it was most likely to have a mass somewhere between 114 and 141GeV (gigaelectronvolts), where one GeV is roughly equivalent to the mass of a proton, a subatomic particle found in atomic nuclei.

A couple of blogs, including viXra and Peter Woit's Not Even Wrong, have now posted rumours that the Atlas and CMS teams see Higgs-like signals around 125GeV, though they say the evidence is not robust enough to claim an official

Economy Watch (column)

The cost of open skies

Europe's financial shadow

Interest rates: Breaching the last dyke

Return to industrial lethargy

The rupee's decline

More »

Most Popular

Most Commented

Learning by rote prevalent in top schools too

American Indians expelled from tribes over question of ancestry

Durban deal — now comes the far, far harder part

Humanitarian crisis in Brazilian Amazon

Is the Higgs boson real? Physicists react

Syria's brave but divided opposition is on its own

Enslaved by tradition: the manual scavengers of Vidisha

Rabindranath Tagore's vision of India and China

Harvard wrestles with free speech

Computer scientists may have what it takes to help cure cancer

Learning by rote prevalent in top schools too

Is the Higgs boson real? Physicists react

discovery.

If the rumours are right and precede a discovery, it means the Higgs boson weighs as much as two copper atoms. That fits quite well with a theory called supersymmetry, which gives physicists a way to unify the four known forces of nature, a feat that frustrated Einstein to the grave.

But enough of the rumours. When the seminar was announced — and before the rumours surfaced — I asked some physicists to share, in a couple of simple sentences, their hunches on what gives mass to fundamental particles. Is it the simplest version of the Higgs mechanism, which gives us what is called the Standard Model Higgs boson? Is it a more complex kind of Higgs field? Or something else entirely? I hoped the replies would give a flavour of the range of views they hold.

Most got back to me. A few kept their replies to a couple of sentences. Some included technical language, and perhaps that was inevitable. One Nobel prize-winner said the Higgs boson doesn't exist. Another responded with a limerick.

Background

Before I list the replies, here is some background. The Higgs mechanism describes an invisible field that, it is argued, split one force into two soon after the birth of the universe. Specifically, it divided an ancient “electroweak” force into the electromagnetic and weak forces we see at work today. The latter is seen in some radioactive decay processes, and is involved in creating sunshine.

The Higgs field splits the electroweak force by giving mass to the particles that carry the weak force (the W & Z bosons) and leaving the particle that carries the electromagnetic force (the photon) massless. The Higgs boson is the quantum particle associated with the Higgs field.

The simplest version of the Higgs boson is described by the Standard Model, a group of equations that explain how known particles interact with each other. There are plenty more complex versions though. Some of these could take ten years to rule out, according to Matt Strassler, a physicist at Rutgers University in New Jersey.

One more point. The Higgs field, if real, is responsible for only a tiny proportion of mass. Around 98 per cent of mass of everyday objects comes from the energy stored up in the particles that make atomic nuclei, i.e. quarks that are bound

[Today's Paper](#)

[ePaper](#)

[This Day That Age](#)

[Crossword](#)

[Archives](#)

[Obituary](#)



Group Sites

[The Hindu](#)

[Sportstar](#)

[Frontline](#)

[Business Line](#)

[Images](#)

together by gluons inside protons and neutrons. The Higgs field is thought to give mass to quarks and electrons, but that makes up only one or two percent of an object's mass. Let's say I weigh 80kg. That means less than a kilo comes from the Higgs field.

The responses

Here are the physicists' responses, in no particular order: *Shelly Glashow, Boston University. Nobel prize in physics, 1979*: “They said when the collider goes on Soon they'd see that elusive boson Very soon we shall hear Whether Cern finds it this year But it's something I won't bet very much on.”

Frank Wilczek, MIT, Nobel Prize in physics, 2004 “The Higgs mechanism for generating masses is extremely attractive and has no real competition. Beyond that there's little certainty. A near-minimal implementation of supersymmetry, perhaps augmented with ultra-weakly interacting particles, is the prettiest possibility. So I'd like several Higgs particles, Higgsinos, some ghostly stuff, and a pony.” [Note: A Higgsino is a supersymmetric partner of a Higgs boson].

Lisa Randall, author of Knocking on Heaven's Door, Harvard: “It is difficult to think of alternatives that are consistent theoretically and with everything observed to date that don't involve the Higgs mechanism — the process of essentially distributing a ‘charge’ throughout the vacuum. Elementary particles interact with this ‘charge’ and acquire mass. It is not necessarily clear, however, what is responsible for that charge in the first place and that is what determines what experiments will see.

“I still think the most likely answer is a conventional light Higgs boson. But when asked what I thought the odds were in a popular lecture, I surprised myself by saying 70%. I've even bet chocolate based on those odds. If not true, I think a heavier composite Higgs boson made up of more fundamental components might be the answer.”

John Terning, University of California, Davis: “We know that strong interactions of quarks and gluons provide the bulk of the proton's mass; I suspect that there are some new — very strongly interacting — particles that provide the masses for the fundamental particles. The most spectacular possibility is that these new particles are the magnetic monopoles that Paul Dirac predicted.”

Martinus Veltman, Universities of Michigan and Utrecht. Nobel Prize in

physics, 1999: “You are mistaken about the Higgs search at Cern. The machine runs at half energy so far, and no one expects relevant (for the Higgs particle) results. After the shutdown [in 2013] the machine will gradually go up in energy, and if all goes well (this is non-trivial) then in about half a year the machine energy might reach design value and there might be Higgs-relevant results. So if you are thinking next week then you are mistaken. Of course, we never know what surprises nature has in store for us? It is my opinion that there is no Higgs.”

Philip Anderson, Princeton University. Nobel Prize in physics, 1977: “I doubt if the opinions of one who thinks about these problems perhaps every 30 years or so will carry much weight. I've been busy. But the last time I thought, I realised a) that the Higgs(—A) mechanism fits the facts too beautifully not to be true, but b) it must be incomplete, because there's no proper accounting of the vacuum energy.” [Note: Anderson essentially described the Higgs mechanism in 1962, two years before Higgs and five other physicists published the theory.]

David Kaplan, University of Washington, Seattle: “I expect some variant of the Standard Model is correct, such as a two-Higgs doublet theory, although later one could well discover the Higgs bosons to be composite particles. Discovery of neutrino masses has opened a window onto physics beyond the Standard Model, and discovery of the mass-generation mechanism for quarks and leptons will open it wider.” [Note: the two Higgs doublet model calls for five Higgs bosons]

David Curtin, Stony Brook University: “It could be the Standard Model Higgs, but I sincerely hope not. Only data will reveal what nature chose, but two of my favourite alternatives are extra dimensions and supersymmetry ? their discovery would tell us incredibly exciting things about several fundamental questions, including (but not limited to) the nature of space-time itself.”

Gerard't Hooft, Utrecht University, Nobel Prize in physics 1999: “The whole idea that something should give mass to the fundamental particles is a hype that resulted from over-commercialisation of the Higgs theory, which actually might backfire on us.

“Fact is that in our present theoretical descriptions, most of the mass terms in the equations for the fundamental particles appear to violate an important symmetry (chiral symmetry) unless they can be connected to an additional field, the Higgs field, which would also require the existence of a not yet

discovered particle, the Higgs particle? (*Ian Sample is the author of Massive: The missing particle that sparked the greatest hunt in science.*) — © **Guardian Newspapers Limited, 2011**

Keywords: [Rolf-Dieter Heuer](#), [CERN](#), [particle physics](#), [Higgs boson](#)

[Tweet](#)

Comments:

Incidentally Boson is named after the Indian physicist Satyendranath Bose. It speaks of the great contribution Satyendranath Bose made to physics and the respect he commands with the international scientific community. Every Indian should be proud of Bose. I wonder how many Indians are familiar with the name Satyendranath Bose. It is time for The Hindu to consider an article on Bose to educate the public.

from: *T.S.Krishnaswamy*

Posted on: Dec 8, 2011 at 02:55 IST

Despite my poor grasp of Physics I am struck with awe how the magnificent contribution of Satyendra Nath Bose has been associated with Nobel prize long after his demise, and todays report on the subatomic particle Higgs boson further reaffirms the great contribution made by the great Theoretical Physicist all by himself in a totally obscure Indian academic environment. Would it be improper to mention as Higgs Boson (in stead of Higgs boson) in keeping with the style of Bose-Eistein hypothesis?

On a lighter vein, in a meeting with Jawaharlal Nehru, Einstein once enquired about his fellow Physicist Bose only to receive a reply-‘Oh! That is a tragedy, Bose has died in a plane crash near Taipeh’. India’s first premier could only think about Subhas Chandra Bose.

from: *Kalyan*

Posted on: Dec 14, 2011 at 10:16 IST

Your Name:

email:

Make a comment
